

Mesons, Quarks and Leptons^{*†}

Harald Fritzsch

Sektion Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität
D-80333 München, Germany

Abstracts The QCD anomaly leads to an abnormal mixing and mass pattern for the pseudoscalar mesons. Furthermore it is responsible for the violation of isospin symmetry in the meson spectrum. Similarities between the large mixing angles among the neutral 0^{-+} -mesons and the large mixing angles observed in neutrino oscillations are pointed out.

^{*}To be published in a commemorative volume of Bologna University in honor of N. Zichichi

[†]Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG-Nr. FR 412/25-2

In the field of strong interaction physics there has been a crucial breakthrough since the mid-sixties, the time when the first studies of A. Zichichi and his collaborators on the radiative decays of mesons were published. Let me describe shortly the situation at that time. A lot of facts about the nature of the nuclear force were already known, e. g. the strength of the interaction between nucleons, the magnitudes of the vertices among nucleons and π - or K -mesons or the radii and the electromagnetic static properties as well as the form factors of the nucleons.

The success of $SU(3)$ symmetry, introduced in 1961 by Gell-Mann¹⁾ and Neeman²⁾, showed that in the physics of the strongly interacting particles there exist simple symmetry rules, although it remained mysterious why there exists such a symmetry at all. What was even more mysterious was the fact that the $SU(3)$ symmetry was broken at a level of about 20%, but the symmetry breaking showed a remarkable regularity – it seemed to agree to a large extent with the hypothesis that the $SU(3)$ -violating term transformed like an octet under the symmetry. This assumption leads to the Gell-Mann–Okubo mass formula, which in case of the baryon octet and decuplet agree well with experiment³⁾.

In 1964 Gell-Mann⁴⁾ in Pasadena and Zweig⁵⁾ at CERN introduced the quark model, the hypothesis that all strongly interacting particles consist of three basic spin 1/2 objects, called the u, d and s -quarks. This model was able to explain many observed features of the hadronic spectrum, but nevertheless was received with great scepticism by the physics community, mostly due to the fact that the quarks had to carry non-integral electric charges and no mechanism was known which could explain why the baryons and mesons had the structure (qqq) and $(\bar{q}q)$ respectively, while other states like (qq) or $(\bar{q}qq)$ did not seem to exist. It took eight years until a resolution of this paradox was found – the theory of QCD, which was based on the introduction of the new color degree of freedom and its use as a gauge symmetry.

Zweig⁵⁾ introduced the quarks (which he called aces at the time) by writing down simple wave functions of the vector mesons in terms of $\bar{q}q$ -states:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d) \\ \omega &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) \\ \Phi &= \bar{s}s\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

In terms of quarks the Φ -meson is a pure $\bar{s}s$ -state. In terms of the $SU(3)$ -symmetry, however, it is a mixture of an $SU(3)$ -octet and an $SU(3)$ -singlet:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \cos \Theta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s) + \sin \Theta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s) \\ \omega &= -\sin \Theta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s) + \cos \Theta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where the mixing angle Θ is given by $\varphi = -\arctan\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = -35.3^\circ$. Zweig observed that this angle is remarkably close to the mixing angle obtained by using $SU(3)$ symmetry for the vector meson octet and taking into account the Gell–Mann–Okubo mass formula. Thus the quark model provided a very simple picture for the neutral vector mesons – they segregate into the nearly degenerate $\rho_0 - \omega$ mesons composed of u - and d -quarks and the Φ -meson with the quark composition $\bar{s}s$. As found during the sixties, especially by the Zichichi group, this simple picture of the vector mesons agrees beautifully with the experimental data, in particular those about radiative decays of mesons and about strong decays like $\Phi \rightarrow \bar{K}K$.

The unusual structure of the vector mesons, given by their peculiar mixing pattern when viewed from the $SU(3)$ platform, gives strong support that these mesons consist of constituents, which in a strong interaction process like $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + \Phi \rightarrow \Lambda + K^- + K^+$ flow smoothly between the vertices:

$$(\bar{u}s) + (uud) \rightarrow (uds) + (\bar{s}s) \rightarrow (uds) + (\bar{u}s) + (\bar{s}u) . \quad (3)$$

In a 1999 recollection about one of the most important discoveries of the 20th century George Zweig writes⁶⁾:

“In the April 15, 1963 Physical Review Letters there is a paper⁷⁾ titled “Existence and Properties of the Φ -Meson”. I remember being very surprised by Figure 1, which showed a Dalitz plot for the reaction $K^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda + K + \bar{K}$. There was an enormous peak at about $(1020 MeV)^2$ in the M^2 -plot for $K\bar{K}$, right at the edge of phase space. The fact that the Φ decayed predominately into $K\bar{K}$ and not $\rho\pi$ was totally unintelligible despite the authors’ assurance that this suppression “need not be disconcerting”. A spin one Φ would decay into $\rho\pi$ or $K\bar{K}$ in a P -wave. Since the Φ was just slightly above the $K\bar{K}$ -threshold, the P -wave $K\bar{K}$ -mode was highly suppressed. My estimate indicated that the $\rho\pi$ -decay mode was at least two orders of magnitude below what might be expected. Feynman taught me that in strong interaction physics everything that possibly can happen does, and with maximum strength. Only conservation laws suppress reactions. Here was a reaction that was allowed but did not proceed! I had thought that hadrons probably have constituents, and this experiment convinced me that they do, and that they are real. By assigning the proper constituents to the pseudoscalar and vector mesons, and assuming that when hadrons decay their constituents flow into the particles they decay into, the striking $\rho\pi$ suppression in Φ decay could be “explained”. This was a statement about dynamics which indicated that the constituents were not hypothetical objects carrying the symmetries of the theory, but real objects that moved in space-time from hadron to hadron! Later when I explained this to Feynman at Nino’s 1964 Erice summer school⁸⁾ where we both were speakers, Feynman did not believe my argument because “unitarity connects all channels with the same quantum numbers, so $\rho\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ get all mixed up, making the suppression of $\rho\pi$ impossible”. Feynman thought the experiment was wrong, or that there was something else going on that we didn’t understand. Later that fall, when I gave Gell–Mann my explanation of Φ decay and drew my diagram for $\Phi \rightarrow \rho + \pi$ (which involved polygonal block-like icons for the constituents), I can still hear him saying “Oh, the concrete quark model!”.”

Nevertheless the naive quark model is confronted with a severe problem. It does not

explain why the neutral vector mesons segregate in the way they do. In principle the strong interaction can easily cause transitions between the neutral $(\bar{q}q)$ -systems, and they could be such that the mixing angle between ω and Φ deviates considerably from the “naive” angle discussed above. Apparently such transition amplitudes are nearly absent for the neutral vector mesons. This is part of the mystery surrounding the dynamics of the Zweig rule until today.

In QCD violations of the Zweig rule, e. g. the decay $\Phi \rightarrow 3\pi$, proceed through gluonic intermediate states, carrying the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$. In lowest order of perturbation theory a three-gluon configuration is the simplest color-singlet gluonic configuration which can contribute. Formally the transition amplitude between a $(\bar{u}u)$ and $(\bar{s}s)$ -state is of order $(\alpha_s)^3$, where α_s is the QCD coupling constant. If α_s is small already at energies of the order of 1 GeV and if perturbative approximations make sense at all, the Zweig rule could be interpreted this way. The question arises, however, whether the perturbative arguments can be applied in an energy region where nonperturbative phenomena and confinement effects are certainly not negligible. In this sense even today the Zweig rule and the mixing pattern of the neutral vector mesons is not completely understood.

In QCD one expects that the mass term of the neutral vector mesons is given by⁸⁾

$$M(\bar{q}q) = \begin{pmatrix} M(\bar{u}u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M(\bar{d}d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M(\bar{s}s) \end{pmatrix} + \sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where σ is a parameter describing the strength of the mixing between $(\bar{u}u)$, $(\bar{d}d)$ and $(\bar{s}s)$ caused by the gluons. If σ would be exactly zero, the three mass eigenstates would have the quantum number of pure $(\bar{u}u)$, $(\bar{d}d)$ and $(\bar{s}s)$ -states. Note that the $(\bar{u}u)$ and $(\bar{d}d)$ -states are not exactly degenerate in mass, due to the isospin violating $m_u - m_d$ mass difference.

We should like to note that the matrix multiplying σ is taken to be $SU(3)$ symmetric. In reality there are small $SU(3)$ violations, which will not be discussed here.

If σ would be large compared to $M(\bar{u}u)$ or $M(\bar{s}s)$, the mass eigenstates would be close to the states $(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}$ and $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s)/\sqrt{6}$,

i. e. the isospin triplet and the $SU(3)$ octet state. In reality we have an isospin triplet, the $\rho(770)$, the $\omega(783)$ which is nearly $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}$, and a state which is nearly $(\bar{s}s)$, the $\Phi(1020)$. Such a pattern can only be achieved, if the mixing term σ is very small compared to the mass difference $M(\bar{s}s) - M(\bar{u}u)$. If σ were zero, the Φ -meson would be a pure $(\bar{s}s)$ -state, which is not the case. The radiative decay $\Phi \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$, observed with a branching ratio of about 0.0013, can be used to estimate the amount of nonstrange quarks in the Φ -meson wave function. One finds:

$$\Phi \cong \bar{s}s + 0.06 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2}. \quad (5)$$

This gives an estimate for σ , which is of the order of 10 MeV. The $\omega - \rho_0$ mass difference will also be given by σ . One expects

$$M(\omega) - M(\rho^0) = 2\sigma \approx 20 \text{ MeV}. \quad (6)$$

In reality this mass difference is about 12 MeV, not a bad estimate in view of the fact that we have disregarded the effects of isospin violations.

Any difference between the diagonal terms $M(\bar{u}u)$ and $M(\bar{d}d)$, which is a measure of isospin violation, would cause a mixing between ρ and ω , i. e. the ω -meson would have a small admixture of the $\Delta I = 1$ term $(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}$. Using the decay $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, observed with branching ratio of 2.2 %, one estimates this admixture to be about 3.5 % in amplitude, which implies that the mass difference $M(\bar{u}u) - M(\bar{d}d)$ should be less than 1 MeV.

As soon as $m_s - m_{u,d}$ is lifted from zero, a singlet-octet mixing sets in. An interesting situation would arise if $M(\bar{s}s) - M(\bar{u}u)$ is equal to σ . In this case the two states ω and Φ are given by a 45° rotation:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left((\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} - \bar{s}s \right) \right] \\ \Phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left((\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} + \bar{s}s \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Of course, this is a hypothetical case, since in reality σ is much smaller than $M(\bar{s}s) - M(\bar{u}u)$. In reality we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &\approx (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} - 0.06 \cdot \bar{s}s \\ \Phi &\approx 0.06 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} + \bar{s}s. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Furthermore it is useful to consider the $SU(3)$ -limit $m_u = m_d = m_s$ in the absence of electromagnetism. Due to the $SU(3)$ -symmetry the neutral vector mesons would segregate into the two mesons $(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}$ and $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s)/\sqrt{6}$, degenerate in mass, and the $SU(3)$ singlet $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)/\sqrt{3}$, which is lifted in its mass due to the mixing term. The resulting mass difference is given by 3σ , about 30 MeV.

While the mixing strength caused by the gluonic interaction is small, but non-zero in the J^{--} -channel, a different situation arises in the 0^{-+} -channel, i. e. for neutral pseudoscalar mesons. In the limit $m_u = m_d = m_s$ QCD exhibits a chiral $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ symmetry. As a result there are eight massless pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons in the symmetry limit, the three pions, four kaons and the η -meson. The ninth meson, the η' -state, acquires a mass due to the gluonic interaction. Phenomenologically the $(\text{mass})^2$ -matrix of the neutral pseudoscalar mesons can be written as⁹⁾

$$M^2(\bar{q}q) = \begin{pmatrix} M^2(\bar{u}u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M^2(\bar{d}d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M^2(\bar{s}s) \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Here the mixing strength is described by the parameter λ . The mass terms $M^2(\bar{u}u)$ etc. are proportional to m_u etc., according to the chiral symmetry constraints.

In the limit of chiral symmetry $m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$ the mass squared of the singlet state η' (quark composition $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)/\sqrt{3}$) is given by 3λ . In the limit of isospin invariance ($m_u = m_d$) we take

$$\begin{aligned} M^2(\bar{u}u) &= M^2(\bar{d}d) = M_\pi^2 \cong 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2, \\ M^2(\bar{s}s) &= 2M^2(\bar{s}u) - 2M^2(\bar{u}u) = 2M_K^2 - 2M_\pi^2 \cong 0.45 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and we obtain:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{3} (M_\eta^2 + M_{\eta'}^2 - M^2(\bar{s}s) - M^2(\bar{u}u)) \cong \text{GeV}^2 \quad (11)$$

One finds $M_\eta \cong 500 \text{ MeV}$, $M_{\eta'} \cong 980 \text{ MeV}$, in a reasonable agreement with the observed values

$$M_\eta \cong 547 \text{ MeV}, M_{\eta'} \cong 958 \text{ MeV}. \quad (12)$$

Note that we have assumed that the gluonic mixing term is $SU(3)$ invariant. However $SU(3)$ breaking effects will also affect the gluonic transitions, i. e. the transition terms $(\bar{u}u \leftrightarrow \bar{d}d)$ and $(\bar{u}u \leftrightarrow \bar{s}s)$ will differ slightly. These $SU(3)$ breaking effects will also change the mass eigenvalues slightly. Neglecting these effects, one finds the wave functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\cong 0.79 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} - 0.62 \bar{s}s \\ \eta' &\cong 0.62 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} + 0.79 \bar{s}s. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Thus a rather strong mixing between the various $(\bar{q}q)$ -configurations is observed, which is a consequence of the gluonic anomaly of QCD^{10,11)} and on the phenomenological side a consequence of the large mixing term given by λ .

The actual value of the mixing angle in the 0^{-+} -sector is not yet known with good precision. For many years a singlet-octet mixing angle of 10° was assumed. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta\rangle &= \cos 10^\circ (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s) / \sqrt{6} + \sin 10^\circ (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s) / \sqrt{3} \\
&\cong 0.71 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} - 0.70\bar{s}s \\
|\eta'\rangle &= 0.70 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} + 0.71\bar{s}s.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

These wave functions are close to the wave functions given by Feynman¹²⁾:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - \sqrt{2}\bar{s}s) \\
|\eta'\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \sqrt{2}\bar{s}s).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

These wave functions are such that the $\bar{s}s$ -term changes sign in the transition from η to η' . They correspond to a 45° -rotation between $\bar{s}s$ and $(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2}$, the hypothetical case discussed above for the vector mesons (eq. (7)). Another interesting set of wave functions is:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - \bar{s}s) \\
|\eta'\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + 2\bar{s}s),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which corresponds to a singlet-octet mixing angle of 19.5° . Here the η (η')-wave functions are obtained from the singlet (octet) states by just switching the sign of the $\bar{s}s$ -term. In reality the mixing angle seems to be between these two cases: $\Theta \approx 15^\circ$, and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta\rangle &= 0.77 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} - 0.64 (\bar{s}s) \\
|\eta'\rangle &= 0.64 (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} + 0.77 (\bar{s}s).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The question remains open whether the wave functions of the η' -meson (and to a lesser extent also of the η -meson) have small admixtures of gluonic configurations. But in any case the strong mixing among the neutral $0^{-+} - \bar{q}q$ -configurations indicates that unlike the vector meson channel the pseudoscalar channel is particularly sensitive to the dynamics of QCD. The mixing strength in the 0^{-+} -channel is much stronger than the mixing strength in the 1^{--} -channel such that a qualitatively new situation arises.

In the chiral limit $m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$ the mass spectrum of the neutral pseudoscalars exhibits two zero eigenvalues and a non-zero one ($M_{\eta'}^2 = 3\lambda$). Thus a strong mass hierarchy exists. The mass matrix in the basis given by the π^0, η and η' -states is given by:

$$M = \text{const.} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

This mass matrix is of rank one and can be rewritten as follows:

$$M = \text{const.} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

using a 3×3 matrix, whose elements are universal – a consequence of the universality of the gluonic force in QCD. The mass matrix takes this form if one performs a unitary transformation among π^0, η and η' . According to QCD the new states are the $\bar{q}q$ -bilinears such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^0 &= (\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d) / \sqrt{2} \\ \eta &= (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s) / \sqrt{6} \\ \eta' &= (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s) / \sqrt{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If one uses the $(\bar{q}q)$ -states instead of the mass eigenstates, the mass matrix exhibits an $S(3)$ -symmetry, which can only be seen in that basis. The mass matrix is invariant under a flavor permutation, e.g., under the permutation $(\bar{u}u) \leftrightarrow (\bar{d}d)$. In the case of three flavors the symmetry is $S(3)$, the discrete symmetry of permutations of three elements. (In our case these are the flavor eigenstates $(\bar{u}u)$, $(\bar{d}d)$ and $(\bar{s}s)$.) Since the mass matrix in terms of the flavor eigenstates is proportional to a 3×3 matrix, in which all elements are equal, we denote the $S(3)$ -symmetry as the symmetry of flavor universality. Thus the states π^0, η, η' are mass eigenstates, while the states $(\bar{u}u)$, $(\bar{d}d)$, $(\bar{s}s)$ are the eigenstates of the flavor universality, but not mass eigenstates.

The observed mass spectrum of the pseudoscalar mesons can be seen in analogy to the mass spectra of the charged leptons and quarks. The mass spectra of the charged leptons and quarks are dominated essentially by the masses of the members of the third family, i. e. by τ , t and b . Thus a clear hierarchical pattern exists. Furthermore the masses of the first family are small compared to those of the second one. Moreover, the CKM-mixing matrix exhibits a hierarchical pattern – the transitions between the second and third family as well as between the first and the third family are small compared to those between the first and the second family.

The observed hierarchies signify that nature seems to be close to the so-called “rank-one” limit, in which all mixing angles vanish and both the u - and d -type mass matrices and the charged leptons are proportional to the hierarchical rank-one matrix M_0^h :

$$M_0^h = \text{const.} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Whether the dynamics of the mass generation allows that this limit can be achieved in a consistent way remains an unsolved issue, depending on the dynamical details of mass generation. Encouraged by the observed hierarchical pattern of the masses and the mixing

parameters, we shall assume that this is the case. In itself it is a non-trivial constraint and can be derived from imposing a chiral symmetry for the massless flavors¹³⁾. This symmetry ensures that an electroweak doublet which is massless remains unmixed and is coupled to the W -boson with full strength. As soon as the mass is introduced, at least for one member of the doublet, the symmetry is violated and mixing phenomena are expected to show up. That way a chiral evolution of the CKM matrix can be constructed. At the first stage only the t and b quark masses are introduced, due to their non-vanishing coupling to the scalar “Higgs” field. The CKM-matrix is unity in this limit. At the next stage the second generation acquires a mass. Since the (u, d) -doublet is still massless, only the second and the third generations mix, and the CKM-matrix is given by a real 2×2 rotation matrix in the $(c, s) - (t, b)$ subsystem, describing the flavor transitions between the second and third family. Only at the next step, at which the u and d masses are introduced, does the full CKM-matrix appear, described in general by three angles and one phase and only at this step CP -violation can appear. Thus it is the generation of mass for the first family which is responsible for the violation of CP -symmetry in the Standard Model.

The rank-one mass matrix can be expressed in terms of a matrix exhibiting the flavor universality:

$$M_0 = c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

Its symmetry is a $S(3)_L \times S(3)_R$ symmetry. It is obtained from M_0^h by an orthogonal transformation. Writing down the mass eigenstates in terms of the eigenstates of flavor universality, one finds e.g. for the lepton channel:

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(l_1 - l_2) \\ \mu^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(l_1 + l_2 - 2l_3) \\ \tau^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(l_1 + l_2 + l_3). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here $l_1, \dots$ are the symmetry eigenstates. Note that e^0 and μ^0 are massless in the limit considered here, and any linear combination of the first two state vectors given in eq. (3) would fulfill the same purpose, i. e. the decomposition is not unique, only the wave function of the coherent state τ^0 is uniquely defined. This ambiguity will disappear as soon as the symmetry is violated.

The λ -term in the mass matrix (9) describes the result of the QCD-anomaly which causes strong transitions between the quark eigenstates (due to gluonic annihilation effects enhanced by topological effects). Likewise one may argue that analogous transitions are the reason for the lepton-quark mass hierarchy. Here we shall not speculate about a detailed mechanism of this type, but merely study the effect of symmetry and symmetry breaking.

Just like for the leptons above universal mass matrices can also be introduced both for the up- and down-quarks. These mass matrices are supposed to be valid in the limit where the first and second family of leptons and quarks are massless. Small violations of the symmetry can account for the masses of the second and first family of quarks as well as for the flavor mixing angles. In a similar way one can introduce small violations of flavor universality to account for the mass of the muon and of the electron.

The question arises whether a similar structure can be also imposed in the neutrino sector. In the symmetry limit only the τ -lepton acquires a mass. Suppose a mass would also be introduced for the τ -neutrino¹⁴⁾ along the same line. In this case we would obtain a massive τ -neutrino, which could also be a Majorana or a Fermi-Dirac state, and the neutrino mass matrix takes the form:

$$M_{0\nu} = c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Note that $m_{\nu_\tau} = 3c_\nu$. Since according to astrophysical constraints the ν_τ -state must be rather light, i. e. not heavier than about 30 eV, we would have a situation in which the constants c_ν/c_l for the various flavor channels differ by at least eight orders of magnitude ($c_\nu/c_l < 30\text{eV}/m(\tau) \approx 10^{-8}$). We find such a tiny ratio rather unnatural, and one is invited to look for other ways to introduce the neutrino masses.

In our view the simplest way to avoid the problem mentioned above is to suppose that the constant c_ν vanishes, i. e. the neutrinos do not receive any mass contribution in the symmetry limit. One may speculate about the dynamical reason for the vanishing of c_ν . For example it would follow if one could establish a multiplicative relation between the fermion masses in the symmetry limit and their electric charges, i.e. the vanishing of c_ν would be directly related to the fact that the neutrinos are electrically neutral. If c_ν vanishes, it is automatically implied that there exists a qualitative difference between the neutrino sector and the charged lepton sector. In particular it may be not surprising that the neutrino masses are small compared to the masses of the charged leptons. Thus there would be no reason why the hierarchical pattern observed for the charged lepton masses should repeat itself for the neutrino masses. The neutrino masses could even be of the same order of magnitude.

In the absence of the universal neutrino mass term one would have:

$$\begin{aligned} M_l &= c_l \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Delta M_l, \\ M_\nu &= 0 + \Delta M_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where ΔM_l and ΔM_ν are the symmetry breaking terms for the charged leptons and neutrinos, respectively. In the case of the pseudoscalar mesons the breaking of the $S(3)$ -symmetry, which breaks also the chiral $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ -symmetry, is given by diagonal terms, the terms

proportional to the quark masses. In a similar way the simplest breaking term in the case of the leptons would be a diagonal mass shift for the symmetry eigenstates, i. e.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta M_l &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_l & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_l \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_\nu &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\nu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_\nu \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

Here both ΔM_l and M_ν are real matrices, i. e. CP -symmetry is preserved for the leptons. Note that the neutrino mass matrix is already diagonal $(\delta_\nu, \rho_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu)$, while the mass matrix for the charged leptons needs to be diagonalized. Apart from small corrections, the main effect of the diagonalization is to diagonalize the M_{0l} by the transformation $UM_{0l}U^\dagger = M_H^l$, where M_H^l is the “hierarchical” matrix:

$$M_H^l = c_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},\tag{27}$$

and

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix},\tag{28}$$

Thus the leptonic flavor mixing is essentially given by the rotation matrix U above, i. e. the leptonic doublets are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_1 - \nu_2) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2\nu_3) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3) \\ e^- & \mu^- & \tau^- \end{pmatrix}\tag{29}$$

where ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 are the neutrinos mass eigenstates.

We should like to mention another possibility to arrive at the mass pattern given above. In the Standard Model neutrinos are massless Weyl fermions. The only masses they could acquire are Majorana masses. In the limit of flavor universality the simplest Majorana mass term is proportional to the unit matrix:

$$M(\nu) = \text{const.} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

This can be seen as follows. If neutrino were Fermi–Dirac objects, like the charged fermions, their symmetry in the limit of flavor universality would be $S(3)_L \times S(3)_R$. Left-handed and right-handed states could be transformed independently. However, for a Majorana fermion the left-handed and right-handed states are linked by a CP –reflection since a four-component Majorana fermion can be viewed as a combination of a left-handed fermion and a right-handed antifermion. Thus in the limit of CP –invariance the symmetry group is not $S(3)_L \times S(3)_R$, but rather the diagonal sum $S(3)$. Correspondingly the simplest mass matrix respecting the symmetry is not the matrix consisting of nine unit elements, but the diagonal submatrix, i. e. the unit matrix.

Indeed a mass term given the same Majorana mass to all three neutrinos is symmetric under $S(3)$. That way we arrive at a structure similar to the one discussed above. In the symmetry limit the mass matrices of the leptons are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M(l^-) &= c_l \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ M(\nu) &= c_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The symmetry violations would introduce small departures from this structure, and we are back to the pattern discussed previously.

It is instructive to compare this situation with the pseudoscalar and vector mesons. In the limit of chiral symmetry ($m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$) the mass terms of the vector mesons and pseudoscalar mesons exhibit also an $S(3)$ –symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} M^2(1^{--}) &= c(1^{--}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ M^2(0^{++}) &= c(0^{++}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Here we have neglected the tiny gluonic mixing term in the 1^{--} –channel. This qualitative difference between the two mass terms introduces a qualitative difference in the mixing pattern. The mass eigenstates of the vector mesons are $\bar{u}u, \bar{d}d$ and $\bar{s}s$, the mass eigenstates of the pseudoscalars are mixtures:

$$(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)/\sqrt{2}, \quad (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s)/\sqrt{2}, \quad (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)/\sqrt{3}. \quad (33)$$

At the same time the first two pseudoscalar states remain massless, the third one (the η' -meson) acquires a mass. In this sense there is a correspondence between the vector mesons and the neutrinos, while the pseudoscalar mesons correspond to the charged leptons. In particular the η' -meson corresponds to the τ -lepton.

Of course, this correspondence is simply given by the similarity of the mass matrices and the underlying symmetry. The dynamics in the two situations is quite different. In the case of the mesons the QCD dynamics reproduces the mass and mixing pattern discussed above. In the case of leptons we can only speculate, but the strong mass hierarchy of the charged leptons, which is at least qualitatively similar to the mass hierarchy in the pseudoscalar channel, may serve as a guide.

In any case the mixing pattern in the leptonic channel is of high interest for neutrino oscillations. In our scheme the electron neutrino is in the limit of $S(3)$ -symmetry a superposition of two mass eigenstates:

$$\nu_e = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\nu_1 - \nu_2) . \quad (34)$$

The mixing angle Θ is 45° , i. e. $\sin^2 2\Theta = 1$. In terms of mass eigenstates the μ - and τ -neutrinos are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 2\nu_3) \\ \nu_\tau &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3) . \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

A μ -neutrino will in general oscillate into all three neutrino mass eigenstates. However, due to the high degeneracy between the ν_1 and ν_2 -states, oscillations between μ -neutrinos and electron neutrinos will appear only at very large distances. Oscillations between μ -neutrinos and τ -neutrinos could show up at smaller distances, if the mass difference between the (ν_1, ν_2) -states and the ν_3 -state is sizeable. For the sake of our discussion let us suppose that the first two neutrino states are completely degenerate, in which case we can perform a 45° -rotation among the two states without changing the physical situation.

Denoting the state $(\nu_1 - \nu_2)/\sqrt{2}$ by $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $(\nu_1 + \nu_2)/\sqrt{2}$ by $\tilde{\nu}_2$, one finds the doublets:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu}_1 \\ e^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\tilde{\nu}_2 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nu_3 \\ \mu^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{\nu}_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\nu_3 \\ \tau^- \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

Effectively the mixing angle between the $\mu - \tau$ system is given by $\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = 54.7^\circ$, which gives $\sin^2 2\Theta = \frac{8}{9}$.

The atmospheric neutrino experiments are consistent with a large mixing angle describing $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations:

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \sin^2 2\Theta_{\text{atm}} \sin^2 \left(1.27 \frac{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 L}{|P|} \right) \quad (37)$$

with $\sin^2 2\Theta_{\text{atm}} \approx 1$ and $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 \approx 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. In our case we obtain $\sin^2 2\Theta_{\text{atm}} = \frac{8}{9}$ in the absence of violation of the underlying $S(3)$ symmetry.

The the observational hints towards neutrino oscillations both for solar and atmospheric neutrinos indicate a mass pattern for the three neutrino states as follows. The first two neutrinos ν_1 and ν_2 are nearly degenerate, while the mass of the third neutrino ν_3 is larger or smaller.

Violations of the underlying $S(3)$ -symmetry due to the non-zero masses for the muon and the electron lead to small modifications of the mixing angles given above. These departures from the symmetry limit depend on details of the symmetry breaking and will not be discussed here¹⁵. However, the gross features of the observed oscillation pattern point towards large mixing angles very similar to the large mixing angles seen in the case of the 0^{-+} -mesons:

$$\tan^2 \Theta(\text{sun}) \approx 0.30 - 0.58$$

$$\sin^2 2\Theta(\text{atm}) > 0.92. \quad (38)$$

Both the experimental data as well as our theoretical considerations suggest that in the case of leptons large mixing angles appear, just as in the case of the pseudoscalar mesons in comparison to the vector mesons. The vector mesons are nearly “pure” in terms of quark states, while the pseudoscalar mesons are strongly mixed. Likewise in our approach the neutrinos are “pure” in terms of $S(3)$ -symmetry eigenstates, while the charged leptons are strongly mixed. The mismatch between the two sectors is the physical origin of neutrino oscillations. The mass and mixing pattern of the mesons is well understood within the theory of QCD. It remains to be seen whether the $S(3)$ -symmetry eigenstates of quarks and leptons used in our analysis reflect in an analogous way that these states are more fundamental than the mass eigenstates. Obviously an answer to this question can only be given in a theory which goes beyond the boundaries of the Standard Model. In the case of the mesons the mixing pattern gave important clues towards a more fundamental picture of hadronic physics based on quarks and QCD. Likewise a deeper understanding of the flavor mixing of the leptons and quarks might provide the first view beyond the frontiers of the Standard Model.

References

1. M. Gell–Mann, Phys. Rev. 125 (1962) 1067.
2. Y. Neéman, Nucl. Phys. 26 (1961) 222.
3. See e. g.: S. Gasiorowicz, Elementary Particle Physics, New York (1966).
4. M. Gell–Mann, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) 214–215.
5. G. Zweig, CERN Reports 8182/Th. 401 and 8419/TH. 412, January 17 and February 21 (1964); Reprinted in Developments in the Quark Theory of Hadrons, A Reprint Collection, Vol. I: 1964–1978, Don. B. Lichtenberg and S. Peter Rosen editors, Hadronic Press, Nonantum Massachusetts, 1980.
6. G. Zweig, private communication (1999).
7. P. L. Connolly et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 371.
8. Symmetries in Elementary Particle Physics, Academic Press, New York & London (1965), A. Zichichi ed.
9. H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Nuov. Cim. 30 (1975) 393.
10. H. Fritzsch, M. Gell–Mann and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. 47B (1973) 365.
11. G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 3432.
12. R. P. Feynman, private communication.
13. H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B184 (1987) 391.
14. The first proposal for a third charged lepton with its own neutrino is due to A. Zichichi (see “The Origin of the Third Family”, World Scientific Series in the 20th Century Physics, Vol. 20, 1998. O. Barnabei, L. Maiani, R.A. Ricci and F. Roversi Monaco, eds.).
15. H. Fritzsch and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B372 (1996) 265;
H. Fritzsch and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B440 (1998) 313.